

Appl. No. 10/071,938
Reply to Office Action of November 30, 2004

Docket No. CM01562L
Customer No. 24273

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

In response to the office action, claims 1-16 have been cancelled. Claims 17-25 have been previously withdrawn from consideration without prejudice to applicant. New Claims 26-41 have been added and remain under consideration in this application.

Rejection of Claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lehtiniemi et al (US patent 6,466,299):

The Rejection of Claims 1-16 under 35 U.S.C. §102(e) as being anticipated by Lehtiniemi et al (US patent 6,466,299) is moot in view of the cancellation of Claims 1-16.

New Claims

Applicants have herein added new claims 26-41.

- Support for claims 26 - 28 can be found in original claims 5 and 6, and on page 6, lines 6 through 13 of Applicants' originally filed specification. Consequently, claims 26 - 28 do not introduce any new matter into the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 26 -28 are in proper condition for allowance and request that claims 26 - 28 may now be passed to allowance.
- Support for claims 29 - 31 can be found in original claims 15 and 16, on page 6, lines 6 through 13, and on page 10 line 19 through page 11, line 7 of Applicants' originally filed specification. Consequently, claims 29 - 31 do not introduce any new matter into the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 29 - 31 are in proper condition for allowance and request that claims 29 - 31 may now be passed to allowance.
- Support for claims 32 - 33 can be found in original claims 12 and 13, and on page 9 lines 4 - 12 of Applicants' originally filed specification. Consequently, claims 32 and 33 do not introduce any new matter into the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 32-33 are in proper condition for allowance and request that claims 32-33 may now be passed to allowance.
- Support for claims 34 - 39 can be found in on page 8, lines 12-22 of Applicants' originally filed specification. Consequently, claims 34-39 do not introduce any new matter into the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 34-39 are in

Appl. No. 10/071,938
Reply to Office Action of November 30, 2004

Docket No. CM01562L
Customer No. 24273

proper condition for allowance and request that claims 34-39 may now be passed to allowance.

- o Support for claims 40 - 41 can be found on page 9, lines 5 - 12 of Applicants' originally filed specification. Consequently, claims 40 - 41 do not introduce any new matter into the specification. Applicants respectfully submit that claims 40 - 41 are in proper condition for allowance and request that claims 40 - 41 may now be passed to allowance.

No amendment made was related to the statutory requirements of patentability unless expressly stated herein. No amendment made was for the purpose of narrowing the scope of any claim, unless Applicant has argued herein that such amendment was made to distinguish over a particular reference or combination of references.

The Applicants believe that the subject application, as amended, is in condition for allowance. Such action is earnestly solicited by the Applicants.

In the event that the Examiner deems the present application non-allowable, it is requested that the Examiner telephone the Applicant's attorney or agent at the number indicated below so that the prosecution of the present case may be advanced by the clarification of any continuing rejection.


The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any necessary fee due to Deposit Account No. 50-2117, Motorola, Inc., or credit any overpayment to the same account.

Respectfully submitted,

SEND CORRESPONDENCE TO:

Motorola, Inc.
Law Department

Customer Number: 24273

By: 
Randi L. Karpinia
Attorney of Record
Reg. No.: 46, 148
Telephone: 954-723-6449
Fax No.: 954-723- 3871
Email: randi.karpinia@motorola.com